

Sub-seafloor Geologic Storage of Captured CO₂: At a Glance

Carbon dioxide can be permanently stored under the seabed in geologic reservoirs. This is achieved by injecting captured CO₂ into rock formations thousands of feet beneath the seabed, where it is trapped by a combination of mechanisms such as a caprock with low permeability, capillary or residual trapping, dissolution in brines naturally present in the storage rocks, or reactions that form solid minerals.⁷⁵ Stored carbon dioxide may come from carbon dioxide removal (CDR) methods like direct air capture, or possibly even direct ocean capture via electrochemical methods if fully developed (see related fact sheet on electrochemical-based CDR).⁷⁶ Carbon dioxide can also be collected using carbon capture and storage techniques, which trap carbon dioxide that would otherwise be emitted from industrial facilities before it enters the atmosphere.⁷⁷

Potential Scale of Carbon Storage: The potential offshore capacity for sub-seabed CO₂ storage is immense. There is capacity for more than 36,000 gigatonnes of carbon dioxide (GtCO₂) to be stored offshore under the seabed of U.S. waters alone.⁷⁸ The Department of Energy's National Energy Technology Laboratory has estimated that the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic coastal regions each have the capacity to store on the order of hundreds of billions of tons (many gigatonnes) of carbon dioxide.⁷⁹

Cost: Carbon capture and offshore storage is limited primarily by cost. Transportation of CO₂ offshore is expensive—more costly than onshore geologic injection.⁸⁰

Duration of Carbon Storage: With proper site selection and adequate monitoring for leaks, sub-seafloor geologic carbon dioxide storage has the potential to be extremely durable, offering the longest sequestration timescales of any ocean-based CO₂ storage method. According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, injected CO₂ can be safely stored in saline formations for 10,000 years or more with overall leakage rates at less than 0.001 percent per year.⁸¹ Further, in certain types of reservoirs, CO₂ storage is expected to slowly grow more secure over time, as the injected CO₂ dissolves in water or brine or some portion eventually mineralizes into solid form, thereby becoming immobile.⁸²

Technical Readiness: Offshore geologic storage has been successfully demonstrated by a handful of small-scale projects, including the Sleipner and Snøhvit projects near Norway, the CarbFix2 project in Iceland, and the Tomakomai demonstration project in Japan.⁸³ Sleipner and Snøhvit projects stored 0.024 GtC between 1996 and 2019 with no demonstrated leakage.⁸⁴ Effective tools for monitoring injected carbon and detecting potential CO₂ leaks from storage have also been developed and demonstrated in the field.⁸⁵

Potential Environmental Risks: Environmental harm could occur from CO₂ leakage during transport or after placement beneath the seabed. Transport and initial injection constitute two risky phases of any geological CO₂ storage projects; the risk is even greater for sub-seabed storage than for terrestrial storage since CO₂ must be transported over or through the ocean by ship or pipeline.⁸⁶ A large CO₂ spill or leak could cause temporary but significant ocean acidification, harming a large variety of organisms in the immediate vicinity.⁸⁷ Once CO₂ is injected under the seabed, leaks from the storage reservoir could disrupt microbial communities and deep-sea organisms, and these impacts could cascade to larger species and ecosystems.⁸⁸ In addition, CO₂ leaked at either stage of the process will ultimately return to the atmosphere, reducing the carbon sequestration benefit of the project.

Outstanding Questions: As mentioned above, sub-seafloor geologic storage of CO₂ has been successfully demonstrated through multiple field and pilot projects.⁸⁹ Still, outstanding questions remain around multiple aspects of the approach. Environmental risks associated with transporting and injecting CO₂ offshore require further study. Additionally, research and development is needed for pipeline and platform infrastructure suited to transport and inject CO₂.⁹⁰ Congress is supporting research to address these key questions, with recent large-scale investments in CDR and geologic carbon storage through the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (Pub. L. 117-58, 2021) and the Inflation Reduction Act (Pub. L. 117-169, 2022). Further, there are outstanding questions about how the federal government will regulate sub-seafloor geologic storage. Although the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act directed the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management to release regulations for carbon sequestration leasing on the Outer Continental Shelf in fall 2022, the bureau has not yet released draft regulations, so the substance of these regulations remains unknown.

- 75 Rebecca C. Smyth and Susan D. Hovorka, *Best Management Practices for Offshore Transportation and Sub-seabed Geologic Storage of Carbon Dioxide*, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (hereinafter BOEM), December 2017, <https://epis.boem.gov/final%20reports/5663.pdf>.
- 76 National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, *Negative Emissions Technologies and Reliable Sequestration: A Research Agenda* (Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press, 2019), <https://doi.org/10.17226/25259>; Ibadillah A. Digdaya et al., “A Direct Coupled Electrochemical System for Capture and Conversion of CO₂ From Oceanwater,” *Nature Communication* 11 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18232-y>
- 77 Harry Vidas et al., *Analysis of the Costs and Benefits of CO₂ Sequestration on the U.S. Outer Continental Shelf*, BOEM, 2012, https://www.boem.gov/sites/default/files/uploadedFiles/BOEM/Oil_and_Gas_Energy_Program/Energy_Economics/External_Studies/OCS%20Sequestration%20Report.pdf.
- 78 Mark Michelin et al., *Opportunities for Ocean-Climate Action in the United States*, prepared by CEA Consulting for Our Shared Seas, 2020, www.oursharedseas.com/oceanclimateaction.
- 79 U.S. Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory, “Offshore Characterization Field Projects,” (accessed July 11, 2022), <https://www.netl.doe.gov/carbon-management/carbon-storage/offshore>.
- 80 Michelin et al., *Opportunities for Ocean-Climate Action*.
- 81 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, “Summary for Policymakers,” in *Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change*, P. R. Shukla et al., eds. (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 2022), https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg3/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGIII_SPM.pdf.
- 82 Jacob S. Anderson et al., “Underground Geological Storage,” chapter 5 in *Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage*, Bert Metz et al., eds. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005), https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/03/srccs_chapter5-1.pdf; Takashi Akai et al., “Numerical modeling of long-term CO₂ storage mechanisms in saline aquifers using the Sleipner benchmark dataset,” *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* 110 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2021.103405>.
- 83 Deirdre E. Clark et al., “CarbFix2: CO₂ and H₂S Mineralization During 3.5 Years of Continuous Injection Into Basaltic Rocks at More Than 250 °C,” *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 279 (2020): 45-66, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016703720302106?via%3Dihub>; Alex Bump, Katherine Romanak, and Tim Dixon, *Meeting Report: 4th International Workshop on Offshore Geologic CO₂ Storage*, International Energy Agency Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme, April 2020, <https://www.club-co2.fr/files/2020/05/2020-TR02-4th-International-Workshop-on-Offshore-Geologic-carbon-dioxide-Storage.pdf>.
- 84 Philip Ringrose and Øystein Sæther, Equinor ASA, “CO₂ Injection Operations: Insights From Sleipner and Snøhvit,” presented at Carbon Capture Utilisation and Storage Conference, Aberdeen, Scotland, October 26–29, 2020, https://www.spe-aberdeen.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Mon_Equinor_SPE-CCUS-Insights-from-Sleipner-and-SnC2%A2hvit-26Oct2020.pdf.
- 85 Anne-Kari Furre et al., “20 Years of Monitoring CO₂ Injection at Sleipner,” *Energy Procedia* 114 (2017): 3916–26, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.03.1523>; Marcella Dean et al., “Insights and Guidance for Offshore CO₂ Storage Monitoring Based on the QICS, ETI MMV, and STEMM-CCS Projects,” *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* 100 (September 2020), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2020.103120>.
- 86 Heleen de Coninck and Sally M. Benson, “Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage: Issues and Prospects,” *Annual Review of Environment and Resources* 39, no. 1 (2014): 243–70, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-032112-095222>.
- 87 Jun Kita et al., “Effects of Elevated pCO₂ on Reproductive Properties of the Benthic Copepod *Tigriopus japonicus* and Gastropod *Babylonia japonica*,” *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 73, no. 2 (2013): 402–8, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.06.026>; Chankeung Lee et al., “Lethal and Sub-lethal Effects of Elevated CO₂ Concentrations on Marine Benthic Invertebrates and Fish,” *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 23, no. 15, (2016): 14945–56, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-6622-4>.
- 88 Andrew G. Carroll et al., “Environmental Considerations for Subseabed Geological Storage of CO₂: A Review,” *Continental Shelf Research* 33 (2014): 116–28, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2013.11.012>; David D. Jones et al., “Developments Since 2005 in Understanding Potential Environmental Impacts of CO₂ Leakage From Geological Storage,” *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* 40 (2015): 350–77, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2015.05.032>.
- 89 Furre et al., “20 Years of Monitoring.”
- 90 Columbia World Projects, *Accelerating Offshore Carbon Capture and Storage: Opportunities and Challenges for CO₂ Removal*, Columbia University, 2021, <https://worldprojects.columbia.edu/sites/default/files/2021-05/Accelerating%20Offshore%20Carbon%20Capture%20and%20Storage%20Report.pdf>; BOEM, *Best Management Practices*.